UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/810,689 | 03/29/2004 | Mitsuhiro Naito | 118407 | 1104 | |
| 25944 75 | 90 09/26/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | MANCHO, RONNIE M | | |
| P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA | _ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3663 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 09/26/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Astinus Communication | 10/810,689 | NAITO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ronnie Mancho | 3663 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | •• | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A | oril 2006. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | s, prosecution as to the merits | s is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-21</u> is/are pending in the ap | olication. | • | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-21 are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) | is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | 1(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached C | ffice Action or form PTO-152 | • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in App | lication No | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been re | ceived in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not red | ceived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) | 4) Interview Sum | mary (PTO-413) lail Date | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | mal Patent Application | • | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/810,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 6, 16, 18, 19 are drawn to a method for communicating a navigation device, classified in class 701/36, 455/557.
- II. Claims 7-15, 21 are drawn to a navigation device for installation in a vehicle, classified in class 701/211, 213.
- III. Claims 17, 20 are drawn to a storage medium storing a set of program instructions, classified in class 701/24; 707/103R; 455/406.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions (I/III) and (II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. That is the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a search, rescue and entertainment.
- 3. Inventions (III) and (I) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 3663

process of using that product. That is the produce can be used in a materially different process of storing data of musical, office files, etc.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

The species are independent or distinct because of the different patentably distinguished embodiments in applicant's disclosure.

Upon election of groups (I), (II), or (III) above, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims are allowable.

Elect:

- A. wherein the communication portion is a wireless local area network device, only or
 - B. wherein the communication portion is a removable cellular terminal, only.

Upon election of A or B above, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims are allowable.

Elect:

Art Unit: 3663

- C. wherein the communication portion communicates directly with the server, only or
- D. wherein the communication portion communicates with an information terminal, the information terminal connected to the server through a network, only.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/810,689 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/810,689

Art Unit: 3663

Page 6

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

September 14, 2006

JACK KETTH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER